

VSP Public Comment

From: brneyedgurl@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 7:15 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

Hasn't Diebold proven that they are nothing but scam artists. Hasn't it been shown time and time again that their systems are fraught with errors and serious security holes? Why would anyone trust their vote to these machines or any other non-transparent voting machine for that matter?

My choice: Mail-in voting.

Mail-in voting has successfully proven itself to be not only more secure, but easier and cheaper than any other voting model. I'm confused to why this is even an issue. The question: Do we use an expensive, faulty, untrustworthy system or a cheap, highly efficient, and secure method? This is not rocket science here, folks.

Mail-in voting has been an amazingly successful method for Oregon and as such is now being considered by several counties and states nation-wide.

Let's quit wasting time and money. I and many other propose the complete removal of "Black Box Voting Machines" in all forms.

Thank you for your time,

Ms. Jacqueline LaMerand
4552 Hamilton St.
San Diego, CA 92116

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.

